

COPY
^
=
$\boldsymbol{\Box}$
7
ш
끨
·
یے

•	1	0.0	0	9 P	076
	is derived using the theory of general				
•	is derived using spectral decomposition terms of array algebra. A new direct				
٠. ز	A least squares solution of sequential	l array algo	ebra o		
0	ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identif	y by block numbe	·r)		
		ast Solution			
		Geoid Model: Gravity Anor		odeling	
		igital Term		odeling	
9.	KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identi				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
	•				Ε,
					3EF U 3 198U.
7.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the obstract entered in Block	k 20, if different i	Irom Repo	10	SEP 0 3 1980
					DIIC
	Approved for public release; distribut	tion unlimi	ted.		
6.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)			•	
	, -,		15a.	DECLASSIFICA SCHEDULE	ATION/DOWNGRADIN
	DMA Aerospace Center St. Louis, AFS, MO 63118			classified	
14.	Washington DC 20305 MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from C	Controlling Office)	15. 5	ECURITY CLA	SS. (of this report)
	Bldg. 56, US Naval Observatory		1.2	11	
· ••	Defense Mapping Agency	(1)	/ <u>)</u> J ₁	une 1980)
11	CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	<u> </u>	12	EPONT DATE	
	GEODETIC SERVICES, INC. P.O. Box 3668, Indialantic, F1. 32903				
9.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		.10. P	ROGRAM ELE REA & WORK	MENT, PROJECT, TAS UNIT NUMBERS
0/			/	/ -	
\widehat{T}	Urho A. Rauhala	CIE	DMA	700-78-C-0	7022 P 00002
7.	AUTHOR(e)		8. C	DITRACT OR	GRANT NUMBER(a)
7	SOLUTION OF EIGENVECTORS.		10. 11	ENFORMING O	RG. REPORT NUMBE
6	SEQUENTIAL ARRAY ALGEBRA USING DIRECT	١ ٢			79 April ,
4.	TITLE (and Subtitio)	//	()	entific Re	AT & PENIOD COVE
	DMAAC-STT				•
••			70. J. R.	ECIPIENT'S CA	ATALOG NUMBER . `
1.	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAG	50041	Sin Be		OMPLETING FORM

Olower se 050 # 2615-25 Aug 1980

SEQUENTIAL ARRAY ALGEBRA USING DIRECT SOLUTION OF EIGENVECTORS

PROBLEM OF SEQUENTIAL ARRAY EQUATIONS

are defined as

The new computationally powerful array algebra technology unifying the sciences of numerical analysis, mathematical statistics and modern signal processing would become more flexible if the problem of sequential array observation equations could be efficiently solved, Rauhala (1974 p 113, 1976 p 79 , 1977, 1978, 1979, 1980a, 1980b), Jancaitis and Magee (1977), Snay (1978). In the illustrative case of three dimensions the

Accession For sequential observation equations read NTIS GRA&I DIDC TAB Unannounced Justification $E_{2} \stackrel{\mathcal{G}_{1}^{T}}{X} F_{2}^{T} = \mathcal{L}_{2} - \mathcal{V}_{2} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{By} \\ \text{Distr} \\ \text{Exp} & X & F_{p}^{T} & = \mathcal{L}_{p} - \mathcal{V}_{p} \end{array}$ Dist. Distribution/ Availability Codes (1) Avail and/or special where the array multiplications

$$(1-\nu)_{r_{1}r_{2}r_{3}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{3}} (e)_{r_{1}j_{1}} (f)_{r_{2}j_{2}} (g)_{r_{3}j_{3}} (x)_{r_{3}j_{3}} (x)_{r_{3}j_{3$$

The last set of observation equations consists of dot multiplications, i.e., discrete direct observations of parameters so that in

the conventional monolinear notations where X, $N = n_1 n_2 n_3$; is treated as a long column vector the design matrix would be diagonal.

The above observation equations result in the normal equations

where the dot multiplications $\mathcal{A}_{i,i,j,j}$ are denoted \mathcal{D}_{*} $\mathcal{X}_{i,i,j,j}$.

We now assume that the symmetric square matrices $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ are brought to satisfy the following spectral decompositions, for example by using the parameter transformations of Buchanan and Thomas (1968),

$$\begin{array}{lll}
A_{r} &=& \mathcal{R}^{T} \, \mathcal{A}_{r} \, \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{B}_{r} &=& \mathcal{S}^{T} \, \mathcal{B}_{s} \, \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{C}_{r} &=& \mathcal{T}^{T} \, \mathcal{Y}_{s} \, \mathcal{T} \\
A_{k} &=& \mathcal{R}^{T} \, \mathcal{A}_{k} \, \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{B}_{k} &=& \mathcal{S}^{T} \, \mathcal{B}_{k} \, \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{C}_{k} &=& \mathcal{T}^{T} \, \mathcal{Y}_{k} \, \mathcal{T} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
A_{r} &=& \mathcal{R}^{T} \, \mathcal{A}_{r} \, \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{B}_{p} &=& \mathcal{S}^{T} \, \mathcal{P}_{r} \, \mathcal{S} & \mathcal{C}_{p} &=& \mathcal{T}^{T} \, \mathcal{Y}_{p} \, \mathcal{T} \, .
\end{array}$$

$$(4)$$

Thus \mathcal{R} is the common orthonormal eigenmatrix of all matrices \mathcal{A}_i and $\mathcal{S}_i, \mathcal{T}_i$ are its counterparts of matrices $\mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{C}_i, \dots, \mathcal{D}_i$. The diagonal matrices $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{C}_i$ contain the eigenvalues of matrices $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{C}_i$.

The present paper is focused on the computational solution of equation (3) under the spectral decomposition of (4). The derivational part of the solution is rather straight-forward, i.e., premultiplications with $\mathcal R$, post multiplications with $\mathcal S$ and the "back" multiplications with $\mathcal T$ result in the solution of the diagonal system by

$$R Z S^{T} = H * R W S^{T}$$

$$H = h_{1,1,2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \{(x,y,(A_{1}),(Y_{1}),+(A_{2}),(A_{2}),(Y_{2}),+\cdots,(A_{p}),(A_{p}),(Y_{p}),+A_{1,1,2,3}\},$$

$$(5)$$

Now the inverse transformations with R,S, T result in the solution familiar from the filtering theory of signal processing

$$\hat{X} = \mathcal{R}^r (H * \mathcal{R} W S^r) S. \tag{6}$$

In terms of signal processing \mathcal{H} can be called "transfer function".

In terms of the general theory of linear estimators and matrix inverses,

Rauhala (1980b), estimator $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ is unbiased if all $\mathcal{H}_{h,h,h,h}$ $\neq 0$.

For biased or nearly biased parameters, $h_{h,h,h,h} \rightarrow \infty$, the

bias, variances and the norm of $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ can be minimized through the

pseudo-inverse solution simply by putting $h_{h,h,h} = 0$ for $h_{h,h,h,h} \rightarrow \infty$.

All of these solutions of normal equations satisfy the least squares

criteria

$$\|\hat{V}_{1}\| + \|\hat{V}_{2}\| + \cdots \|\hat{V}_{p}\| + \|\hat{V}_{d}\| = min.$$
 (7)

In several applications of array algebra the dimensions n_1, n_2, n_3 of the array R can range several hundreds so that the array solution of millions of parameters is split into the problems of solving three small orthonormal eigenmatrices R, S, T. After these matrices are known the array multiplications of equation (6) can be performed along the lines of the computer program presented in (Rauhala, 1980a). The remainder question of this paper handles the computational problem of solving for matrices R, S, T.

DIRECT SOLUTION OF EIGENVECTORS

The computation of eigenvalues λ_i of matrix A and the corresponding eigenvectors is presently dominated by iterative methods putting severe restrictions on the dimensions and conditioning of the matrix. Further the iterative solutions do not guarantee the orthonormality of matrices R_i , R_i in $A = R_i$, $A \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the new direct approach of finding $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ we split the eigenvalue problem in two separate parts, i.e., we assume that the eigenvalue λ_1 is known or computed a priori. We are seeking direct solutions for the corresponding eigenvectors λ_1 , λ_2 as the non-homogeneous solution of the consistent systems

$$A_i \quad X_i = 0 \tag{8a}$$

$$y_i^T \quad A_i = 0 \quad , \tag{8b}$$

where

$$A_{i} = A - \lambda_{i} I . (9)$$

The solutions are found using the general theory of matrix inverses, Rauhala (1980b), by

$$\hat{X}_i = (I - R_i^2 R_i) U_i \tag{10a}$$

$$y_i^T = U_2^T (I - R_i R_i^3)$$
 (10b)

Vectors \mathcal{U}_1 , \mathcal{U}_2 are arbitrary and the g-inverse \mathcal{A}_i needs to satisfy the condition \mathcal{A}_i , \mathcal{A}_i , \mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_i in order to have (10a), (10b) as the solutions of (8a), (8b).

Because by the definition $det/A_i/=det/A-\lambda_i I/\equiv 0$ the maximum rank of matrix A_i is r=n-1. We perform the rank factorization of A_i as

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
R_{i} & = & \begin{bmatrix}
R_{0} & R_{i} \\
rr & r_{i}nr \\
R_{0} & R_{3} \\
R_{0}rr & r_{i}nr
\end{bmatrix},$$
(11)

where the submatrix R_3 has to satisfy the condition $R_3 = R_2 R_0 R_1$.

This condition can be derived by eliminating the "independent" parameters Z_1 from the system

$$A_{\bullet} Z_{+} + A_{+} Z_{2} = W_{+}$$
 (12a)

$$A_{\lambda} Z_{\gamma} + A_{3} Z_{\lambda} = W_{\lambda}$$
(12b)

by

$$Z_{r} = R_{o}^{-1} \left(W_{r} - R_{r} Z_{\perp} \right) \tag{13}$$

Substitution into the linearly dependent part of (12b), yields

$$(R_3 - R_2 R_0^{-1} R_1) Z_2 = W_2 - R_2 R_0^{-1} W_1$$

$$\therefore R_3 = R_2 R_0^{-1} R_1.$$
(14)

The computational rule of finding R_j^{9} of (11) is simply

$$A_i^2 = \begin{bmatrix} A_0^{-i} & O \\ A_0^{-i} & O \\ A_0^{-i} & A_0^{-i} \\ O & O \\ O &$$

because it satisfies the condition $A_i A_i^2 A_i = A_i$ yielding

$$A_i^{9} A_i = \begin{bmatrix} I & A_o^{i} A_i \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow I - A_i^{9} A_i = \begin{bmatrix} Q & -A_o^{i} A_i \\ O & I \\ O & A_o^{i} A_o^{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16a)

$$A_i A_i^9 = \begin{bmatrix} I & O \\ A_i A_i^{-1} O \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow I - A_i A_i^9 = \begin{bmatrix} O & O \\ -A_i A_i^{-1} & I \\ -A_i A_i^{-1} & A_i^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$$
(16b)

The unnormalized eigenvector solutions become now from (10a), (10b)

$$X_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} -R_{0}^{-i} \Sigma_{i} \\ r_{0} \end{bmatrix} \qquad (\Sigma_{i})_{j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-r} (R_{i})_{jk}$$

$$j = l_{1} Z_{1} \dots r_{n}. \qquad (17a)$$

$$y_{i}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{k} R_{0}^{-1}, 1, 1, \dots \end{bmatrix} (\sum_{k})_{i} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (R_{k})_{kj},$$
(17b)

where the n-r last terms of u_1, u_2 are chosen to be ones. The normalized eigenvectors become

$$\hat{X}_{i} = (n-r+\sum_{j=1}^{r}(k_{n})^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}\begin{bmatrix} k_{x} \\ r_{i} \end{bmatrix} \qquad k_{x} = -R_{0}^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{r}(k_{n})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(18a)

$$y_{i}^{T} = (n-r+\sum_{j \in I} (k_{ij})_{ij}^{2})^{l_{2}} \left[k_{ij}^{T}, l_{3}, ... \right], k_{ij}^{T} = -\sum_{i \in I} R_{ii}^{T}.$$
(18b)

By repeating these solutions for all eigenvalues λ_i , $i \in I/2$, ... n the sought orthonormalized matrices R_i , R_i of $R_i = R_i$, R_i become

$$\mathcal{R}_{n}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{n}, \hat{x}_{n}, \dots & \hat{x}_{n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{n}^{T} \\ \hat{y}_{n}^{T} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

For a symmetric matrix R, = R = R.

OPERATION COUNT OF THE DIRECT SOLUTION

If the spectral decomposition of the n-1, n-1 leading partition of \mathcal{A} were known as $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and the same partition could be used for all (k_n) ; then we could perform the one-time multiplication and each (k_n) ; $= \widetilde{\mathcal{R}} (\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} - \lambda_n \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}) \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, would require n-operations or totally $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ would require n-operations. This is the same magnitude of operations required for a two-dimensional array multiplication of the type of equation (6).

In several practical applications matrix A is banded and only a

few last terms of A, are non-zeroes so that each (k_{λ}) requires 6^{2} operations or totally 6^{2} operations for R, where 6 is the bandwidth (usually 625 for symmetric matrices). In some practical experiments the author performed the double precision orthonormalization of a 300 X 300 tridiagonal matrix in a CPU time of a few seconds using a minicomputer.

APPLICATIONS

The above array solutions were used for simulations of non-separable filters of finite element solution of regularly gridded data.

Using these filters or impulse responses a rigorous least squares solution of 601 X 1201 > 720 000 nodes was convolved in a CPU time of less than one minute and using less than 30 K bytes of the minicomputer core space.

For the non-stationary case of irregular gridded data the above derived array solution (6) removes some restrictions of the one-batch array equations. For example in digital terrain, geoid, gravity anomaly etc. modeling using the method of array algebra finite elements the observed nodes are allowed to have completely arbitrary locations and a priori weights. Simultaneously the operators R, S, T can be brought to exhibit a structure of generalized fast transforms, (Rauhala (1980a), so that R, S, T are never explicitly computed (requiring no core space) and multicplication R w requires less than R = 0 operations, i.e., the total solution of R = 0, R = 0 parameters requires the magnitude of Noperations.

The above very fast array solution can exhibit such general

properties which seem to be at odds with the restrictive nature of each sequential array batch: For example, the math model can contain equality constraints, discontinuities and break-lines and single point constraints (minimum, maximum, saddle etc. points). Furthermore, the math model allows automatic bridging of "smooth areas" (sparse data sampling) or a priori identified "blunder areas" (sampled data with zero a priori weights). Those features allow introduction of batches of fill-in samples replacing large areas of blunderous observations, batches of overlapping data samples, etc. Thus the math model can be used for modeling even "pathologically" difficult and ill-behaving empirical functions with proper computational efficiency both in the stages of forming the data base and in the retrieval and usage of the stored data base.

The above and many other applications of the sequential array algebra warrant detailed investigations. For example some carefully designed net adjustment problems of large dimensions are within the capabilities of the above array solution.

Acknowledgement:

The paper was prepared under contract 700-78-C-0022 P 00002 for DMA Aerospace Center, St. Louis Air Force Station with Dr. Raymond J. Helmering as the contract monitor.

REFERENCES:

- G. Blaha: A Few Basic Principles and Techniques of Array Algebra, Bull. Geod., 3rd issue, 1977.
- J.E. Buchanan, D.H. Thomas: On Least Squares Filtering of Two-Dimensional Data with a Special Structure, Siam J. Numer. Anal. Vol. 5, No. 2, 1968.
- J.R. Jancaitis, R.L. Magee: Investigation of the Application of Array Algebra to Terrain Modeling, USA-ETL, Ft. Belvoir, VA, 1977.
- U.A. Rauhala: Array Algebra with Applications in Photogrammetry and Geodesy, Fotogrammetriska Meddelanden, VI:6, Department of Photogrammetry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm 1974.
- U.A. Rauhala: A Review of Array Algebra, Fotogrammetriska Meddelanden 2:38, Department of Photogrammetry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, 1976.
- U.A. Rauhala: Array Algebra as General Base of Fast Transforms. Proceedings of Symposium "Image Processing Interactions with Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing", held 3-5 October in Graz, Mitteilungen Der Geodätischen Institute Der Technischen Universität Graz, Folge 29, Graz, 1977.
- U.A. Rauhala: Array Algebra DTM, Proceedings of Digital Terrain Models (DTM) Symposium, May 9-11, St. Louis, American Society of Photogrammetry, Falls Church, Virginia 1978.
- U.A. Rauhala: Intuitive Derivation of Loop Inverses and Array Algebra, Bull. Geod., 4th issue, 1979.
- U.A. Rauhala: Introduction to Array Algebra. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, Vol. 46, No. 2, 1980a.
- U.A. Rauhala: General Theory of Linear Estimators and Matrix Inverses, Submitted for publication in Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 1980b.
- R.A. Snay: Applicability of Array Algebra. Review of Geophysics and Space Physics, Vol. 16, No. 3. pp. 459-464, 1978.